

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,670	07/18/2005	Carder Tom	P60677 WO 01 GP	1688
7:500 0.001/2011 T. Gregory Peterson Bradley Arant Rose & White 1819 Fifth Avenue North Birmingham, Al. 35203-2104			EXAMINER	
			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/542,670	TOM, CARDER				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ROBERT J. MCCARRY JR	3617				

nc nc	BENT J. WICCANNT JN JO	217
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the corr	espondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to right with mit are after of the communication of the communicati	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the asteroidation to become ABANDONED (5)	filed mailing date of this communication. IS U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 (O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele-	ntion requirement	
o) olami(s) are subject to restriction and or ele-	ction requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir		* * *
	ier. Note the attached Office Ac	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
Certified copies of the priority documents have		NI-
Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.		
application from the International Bureau (PC		II tilis National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	* "	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PT	O-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pate	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)	97 TROUBLE OF WHORMAN PLANE	A Abbitonion

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No/s\Mail Date 7/18/2005	6) Other	

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3617

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,915,745.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present application and the prior patent include in each respective claim 1 features; a, drawn to the overhead track, b, drawn to the plurality of trolley assemblies, c, drawn to the conveyor chain and d, drawn to the lubricating turn wheel. Claim 1 of the present application does not include feature e, found in the prior patent drawn to drive means. Remaining claims 2-20 of the present application and claims 2-20 of the prior patent are identical. As the present application does not include feature e, drawn tot the drive means, this makes the claims of the present application broader in scope from the prior patent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have come to the expected result that the more detailed claims of the prior patent would encompass the broader features of the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3617

Claim 1, section c, recites "a conveyor chain comprising a plurality of links, with each link having a central cavity, the links joins together by a central member, the central member configured to engage the trolley bracket at a second point;".

It is unclear as to where this "second point" is and how it relates to the trolley and the chain as there is no positive recitation of a first point. This leaves the claims vague and indefinite.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, of claim 1 as set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT J. MCCARRY JR whose telephone number is (571)272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. Joseph Morano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3617 /R. J. McCarry Jr./ Examiner Art Unit 3617

RJM February 24, 2011